Same Sex Marriage in Pennsylvania What is the Impact on Employers?

July 9, 2014



Repeal of DOMA -The Windsor Decision

The Effect on Retirement Plans



July 2014



Today's Presenter

Josh Laychock, AIF[®] Northeast Financial Group, Inc. Principal-Partner jlaychock@nefginc.com

Northeast Financial Group, Inc. P.O. Box 531 2813 Route 611 Tannersville, PA 18372 570-688-9898

Disclaimer & Disclosures

- Information provided by PenServ Plan Services, Inc. and outside sources as secured by PenServ Plan Services, Inc. This information is provided for educational and informational purposes and is not intended to be used to avoid tax penalties or to render tax or legal advice. The taxpayer should seek advice from an independent tax and/or legal advisor. The content of this presentation should not be replicated without the expressed permission of PenServ Plan Services, Inc.
- Northeast Financial Group, Inc. is a Registered Investment Advisor registered with the Securities Exchange Commission. This report is for information purposes only, and does not constitute a complete description of our services or performance. The information contained in this presentation is not a solicitation to sell securities or investment advisory services where such an offer would not be legal. Past performance is never a guarantee of future performance.
- The information discussed is intended to serve as a basis for further discussion with your financial, legal, tax and/or accounting advisors. It is not a substitute for competent advice from these advisors. Northeast Financial Group, Inc. or its advisors are not authorized to practice law or provide legal, tax or accounting advice.
- If a numerical analysis is shown, the results are neither guarantees nor projections, and actual results may differ significantly. Any assumptions as to interest rates, rates of return, inflation, or other values are hypothetical and for illustrative purposes only. Rates of return shown are not indicative of any particular investment, and will vary over time. Any reference to past performance is not indicative of future results and should not be taken as a guaranteed projection of actual returns from any recommended investment.



Supreme Court Rules on DOMA

- On June 26, 2013, the Supreme Court ruled that DOMA was unconstitutional
- They also ruled the same day that Proposition 8, previous passed in California was unconstitutional
- DOMA affected over 1300 federal laws that now need to be reinterpreted



- On August 29, 2013 IRS issued:
 - Revenue Ruling 2013-17 (effective 9/16/2013)
 - FAQs Same-sex married couples
 - FAQs Registered Domestic Partners
- Additional Guidance issued with respect to QPs and 403bs on 4/4/2014 in Notice 2014-19
- On 4/4/2014 IRS also posted FAQs on their website
- Plan amendments addressed qualified plans and 403(b) plans only
 - Safe Harbor 401(k) plans addressed
 - No guidance for IRAs and 457 plans



- Revenue Ruling 2013-17
- Marital Status is determined based on the laws of the state where marriage occurred not the state of residency for all federal purposes.
- For federal tax purposes, the terms "spouse", "husband and wife", "husband", "wife", "marriage" include marriage and spouses of same-sex marriages.
- For federal tax purposes, the terms "spouse", "husband and wife", "husband", "wife", "marriage" DO NOT include marriage and spouses of registered domestic partnerships.



FAQs Specifically Geared to Retirement Plans

- The Effect of Rev. Rul. 2013-17 on Retirement Plans
 - Retirement Plans must treat a same-sex spouse as a "spouse" for all federal tax laws relating to retirement plans;
 - 2. Retirement Plans must recognize same-sex marriages that were validly entered into in a jurisdiction where the marriage is authorized, regardless of the state of residency of the participant and spouse
 - 3. A person who is a registered domestic partner (or a civil union) is not considered a spouse for purposes of applying any federal tax law. This applies to same-sex and opposite sex marriages.



FAQs Specifically Geared to Retirement Plans

- When does Rev. Rul. 2013-17 apply to Retirement Plans
 - 1. Rev. Rul. 2013-17 is effective on **9/16/2013**.
 - 2. While for other tax reasons a participant can amend their tax return, this does *not app*ly to retirement plans.
 - 3. This guidance does not cover effects on retirement plans with respect to periods before 9/16/2013
 - 4. IRS intends to issue future guidance on:
 - a. Plan amendments including timing
 - b. Any necessary corrections relating to plan operations before future guidance is issued.



ERISA Qualified Plans/403(b) :

- QJSA/QPSA requirements
- Payment of account balances to beneficiaries
- Spousal Consent for distributions/loans
- Hardship where plan requires "spouse" to be named as primary beneficiary to use spouse's hardship
- Rollovers Same-sex spouses will be recognized for all of the spousal exceptions
- QDRO
- RMDs
- Plan Policies and Procedures



ERISA Qualified Plans/403(b) : (cont'd)

- Prohibited Transaction applicability
- ERISA disclosure notifications to a spouse, former spouse, or alternate payee
- Family attribution applicability
- Controlled Group Rules
- Other testing considerations
 - Top-Heavy
 - Cross-Tested Plans
 - Highly Compensated Employees



Miscellaneous Legislative Updates

NORTHEAST FINANCIAL GROUP

July 2014



Pre-Tax Status Of Deferrals

Tax incentives for retirement savings are viewed by Congress as:

"lost revenue"

Tax Expenditures JCT Jan. 2013 Estimates

JCT January 2013 estimates

(https://www.jct.gov/publications.html?func=startdown&id=4503)

Billions			
2013	2014	2015	2016
\$131.7	\$143.0	\$153.0	\$161.5
69.7	71.7	75.0	79.2
57.0	61.4	65.9	72.5
32.9	35.1	41.2	48.9
11.3	12.0	12.7	13.6
101.2	108.5	119.8	135.0
11.1	13.3	14.5	15.9
3.8	4.3	4.9	5.5
	\$131.7 69.7 57.0 32.9 11.3 101.2 11.1	20132014\$131.7\$143.069.771.757.061.432.935.111.312.0101.2108.511.113.3	\$131.7 \$143.0 \$153.0 69.7 71.7 75.0 57.0 61.4 65.9 32.9 35.1 41.2 11.3 12.0 12.7 101.2 108.5 119.8 11.1 13.3 14.5



Automatic Enrollment & Work Place IRAs

Workers will save for retirement

without a workplace plan...

The Middle Class is 15 Times More Likely To Save At Work



16



Employment Law Consequences of Pennsylvania's Same Sex Marriage Ruling Berks County SHRM Chapter July 9, 2014

Jill S. Welch. Esq.

717.399.1521

jwelch@barley.com

© 2014 Barley Snyder

Defense of Marriage Act

- In 1996, Congress enacted the federal Defense of Marriage Act (DOMA), federal law deferral to state law changed fundamentally
- Two operative provisions of DOMA

- Section 2 allows states to refuse to recognize same-sex marriages performed under the laws of other jurisdictions
- Section 3 provided a comprehensive federal law definition of "marriage" and "spouse"

DOMA Provisions

- "Marriage", under DOMA, means only a legal union between one man and one woman as husband and wife
- "Spouse", under DOMA, refers only to a person of the opposite sex who is a husband or a wife
- DOMA definitions applied to <u>all</u> federal statutes, regulations and directives where marital or spousal status is addressed or relevant—over 1000 such laws

U.S. Supreme Court Windsor Decision

- Section 3 of DOMA, defining marriage and spouse, is "unconstitutional as a deprivation of the liberty of the person protected by the Fifth Amendment of the Constitution"
- The Constitution does not permit the <u>Federal</u> <u>government</u> to limit citizens' personal freedom to marry
- But Windsor left intact Section 2 of DOMA, which allows states to refuse to recognize same sex marriages performed elsewhere

What is the current status of states' same sex marriage recognition?

- Currently 19 states -- along with D.C. -- permit same sex marriage: CA, CT, DE, HI, IL, IA, ME, MD, MA, MN, NH, NJ, NM, NY, OR, PA, RI, VT, and WA
- The population of the above jurisdictions is roughly 44% of the total U.S. population
- On appeal to federal Appeals Courts: AR, IN, MI, OH, UT, WI, ID, KY and TN

Pennsylvania's *Whitewood* Decision

PA's Statute re: same sex marriage:

It is hereby declared to be the strong and longstanding public policy of this Commonwealth that marriage shall be between one man and one woman. A marriage between persons of the same sex which was entered into in another state or foreign jurisdiction, even if valid where entered into, shall be void in this Commonwealth



Pennsylvania's Whitewood Decision

- Judge Jones, federal trial court in Harrisburg, declared PA's statutory ban on both in-state and out-of-state marriages unconstitutional
- Governor Corbett will not appeal
- County Clerk's appeal based on "vagueness" likely will be denied



Other Pennsylvania cases?

- Montgomery County Clerk: PA Supreme Court lifted the trial court's order prohibiting the clerk from issuing marriage licenses
- Palladino: similar to Whitewood, looks like heading for dismissal by federal court in Philadephia



Same Sex Marriage and FMLA

- DOL Fact Sheet 28F
 - Spouse means a husband or wife as defined or recognized under state law for purposes of marriage <u>in the state where the employee</u> resides (place of residence)
 - DOL proposing to change this to place of <u>celebration</u>
 - Includes common law and same-sex marriage



Common Law Marriage in PA?

- Not after Jan. 1, 2005 when legislature abolished common law marriage
- Common law marriages valid only up to Sept. 17, 2003, when PA appeals court abolished common law marriages

Barley Snyder

TORNEYS AT LAW

 But unclear for common law marriages between Sept. 17, 2003 and Dec. 31, 2004 – conflicting PA appellate decisions

Other FMLA Considerations

- Parent means a biological, adoptive, step or foster father or mother, or any other individual who stood *in loco parentis* to the employee when the employee was a child
- In loco parentis those with day to day responsibilities to care for or financially support a child, even those with no legal or biological relationship with the child



Same Sex Marriage and Military Leave

- Qualifying Exigency Leave
 - Arises from the military deployment of an employee's **spouse**, son, daughter or parent to a foreign country
- Military Caregiver Leave

Barley Snyder

 To care for a covered service member with a serious injury or illness if the employee is the spouse, son, daughter, parent, or next of kin of the service member

Same Sex Marriage and State Leave Law

 In states that recognize same-sex marriage and have state leave laws applicable to **spouses**, spousal leave available under state law will run concurrently with leave taken under the federal FMLA (if FMLA qualifying)



Surrounding States With Leave Laws

New Jersey Family Leave Act

Maryland's Flexible Leave Act

New York Family Leave



Same Sex Marriage and Documentation

 An employer is permitted to request documentation to confirm a family relationship, including spousal relationship

Be consistent

 An employer is also permitted to inquire whether the employee lives in a state that recognizes same sex marriage, if not known



Same Sex Marriage and Immigration

- Immigrant visa petitions
 - Same sex couples are legally married for purposes of the immigration law if the marriage took place in a U.S. state or foreign country that recognizes same-sex marriage, regardless of the couple's current place of residence
 - Place of celebration rule



Title VII and ENDA

- Title VII
 - Prohibits discrimination based upon sex, but not sexual orientation
- ENDA
 - Prohibits employers from firing, refusing to hire, or discriminating against employees or applicants on the basis of their perceived or actual sexual orientation or gender identity
 - Passed Senate last fall; awaiting House vote



State Anti-Discrimination Laws

- Pennsylvania Senate and House bills introduced to eliminate discrimination based on sexual orientation, gender identity & expression; Governor Corbett support, but no timeline
- New York sexual orientation
- New Jersey civil union status, domestic partnership status, affectional or sexual orientation, gender identity or expression
- Delaware sexual orientation, gender identity
- Maryland sexual orientation

Local Anti-Discrimination Laws

 Lancaster City – prohibits sexual orientation discrimination

 City of York – sexual orientation, gender identity

 City of Reading – sexual orientation, gender identity or expression

Employee Handbooks & Spouses

- Bereavement Leave
- EAP
- FMLA Leave
- Qualified Exigency Leave
- Covered Service Member Caregiver Leave
- Beneficiaries Update





Impact on Health Insurance

Presented by Jessica Dean Employee Benefits Consultant (610) 685-1790 <u>jdean@pkbenefits.com</u>

Same-Sex Marriage Legal in PA What this means...

- Same-sex couples married outside of PA are now recognized as spouses in PA
- Same-sex couples can legally wed in PA
- PA state law now permits same-sex couples to add their spouse to their health insurance plan
- · Health plans should be reviewed for how "spouses" are defined
- Employers should not impute additional income to an employee who covers a same-sex spouse





CMS Final Regulations

- Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) new regulation guidance
- Insurance issuers must offer coverage to same-sex spouses that is offered to opposite sex spouses
- Prior, already applied in states that recognized same-sex marriage
- Now, all insurance companies in all states are required to make coverage available
- Health insurance coverage will always be available to employers that wish to offer coverage to same-sex spouses
- Health insurance issuers are encouraged to offer coverage to same-sex spouses in 2014; all issuers must fully comply in 2015



Fully Insured Health Plans

- State insurance law generally requires fully insured plans to offer equal coverage to opposite-sex and same-sex spouses.
- Employers with fully insured plans in states that do not currently recognize same-sex marriage must be offered the opportunity by the insurance company





DOMA and the Windsor Decision

State Recognizes Same-Sex Marriage: **SSS Coverage Required**

Fully Insured

State Does Not Recognize Same-Sex Marriage: SSS Coverage Not Required



Self-Insured Health Plans

- Most self insured plans are not subject to state insurance law
- Not subject to the new CMS guidance
- Employers with self insured plans are generally not required to provide equal coverage to same-sex spouses
- Employers that do not offer benefits to same-sex spouses may be at risk for discrimination lawsuits





DOMA and the Windsor Decision

State Recognizes Same-Sex Marriage: SSS Coverage May Be Required

Self Insured

State Does Not Recognize Same-Sex Marriage: SSS Coverage Not Required



Cafeteria Plans

- An employee's same-sex spouse may receive benefits through an employer's cafeteria plan
- A cafeteria plan may permit a participant's FSA to reimburse covered expenses of the participants same-sex spouse
 - To be eligible the expenses must have be incurred during a period beginning on a date that is no earlier than:
 - The beginning of the cafeteria plan year
 - The date of marriage, if later







Checklist for Employers

To Do List for Employers

- ✓ "Take Stock"
- ✓ Decision Making
- ✓ Documentation
- ✓ Communications
- ✓ Other Administrative Issues
- ✓ Additional Issues to Consider

